	Case 2:23-cv-00565-DAD-JDP Docume	ent 7	Filed 07/05/23	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JAHMARI BUTLER,	Ca	se No. 2: 23-cv-0	00565-JDP (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.		RDER; FINDING		
14	D. BAKER , et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS			
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	A recent court order served on plaintiff's address of record was returned by the postal				
18	service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that				
19	a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-				
20	three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service, and plaintiff has				
21	failed to notify the court of a current address.				
22	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is				
23	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and				
24	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for				
25	failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).				
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge				
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days				
28	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections				
		1			

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 5, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:23-cv-00565-DAD-JDP Document 7 Filed 07/05/23 Page 2 of 2